# 2

1

### 3

### 4 5

#### 6

### 7

### 8

### 9

### 10

### 11

### 12

### 13

### 14 15

# 16

## 17

### 18

### 19

### 20

### 21 22

### 23

### 24 25

### 26

### 27 28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TODD ASHKER and DANNY TROXELL, Plaintiffs,

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 05-03286 CW (PR)

ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY ADJUDICATION

In its December 26, 2007 Order, the Court set a briefing schedule requiring all dispositive motions to be filed within two months of the order, and opposition briefs to be filed one month thereafter. Subsequently, the Court issued a Scheduling Order on February 8, 2008, setting June 1, 2008, as the last day to conduct discovery and September 15, 2008, as the last day for Defendants to file a summary judgment motion. The last day for Plaintiffs to file their opposition to that motion was set thirty days thereafter, on October 15, 2008.

On February 26, 2008, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment or, in the alternative, for summary adjudication. Plaintiffs have not filed an opposition. On March 26, 2008, defense counsel filed a declaration in lieu of reply, raising a dispute between the parties concerning the deadline for Plaintiffs to file an opposition to the motion.

Defense counsel has submitted correspondence indicating that Plaintiffs intend to submit their opposition to Defendants' motion by October 15, 2008, pursuant to the February 8, 2008 Scheduling Order. Kenny Suppl. Decl. Ex. C. Defendants contend that Plaintiffs' opposition is due thirty days after the motion was filed, pursuant to the December 26, 2007 Order.

Because Plaintiffs have renewed their motions to compel answers to written deposition questions and production of documents before Magistrate Judge Larson, and contend that they seek discovery relevant to the summary judgment motion on their remaining claims, the Court will allow Plaintiffs to file their opposition to the motion for summary judgment after a ruling on the discovery motions.

It is hereby ordered that Plaintiffs shall file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment/summary adjudication no later than ninety days after the magistrate judge enters an order deciding the merits of Plaintiffs' motions to compel. Defendants may file a reply no later than two weeks thereafter, on which date the matter will be taken under submission. No oral argument or further briefing on Defendants' motion will be permitted absent further order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: 4/18/08

cc: JL

CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

budiel lon

27

28

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 ASHKER ET AL et al, 4 Case Number: CV05-03286 CW Plaintiff, 5 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** v. 6 SCHWARZENEGGER ET AL et al, 7 Defendant. 8 9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 10 That on April 18, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said 11 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located 12 in the Clerk's office. 13 14 Danny Troxell B-76578 15 Pelican Bay State Prison P.O. Box 7500, C-8-101 16 Crescent City, CA 95531 17 J. Randall Andrada Andrada & Associates 18 **Professional Corporation** 180 Grand Avenue 19 Suite 225 Oakland, CA 94612 20 Todd Ashker C-58191 21 Pelican Bay State Prison P.O. Box 7500, D1-119 22 Crescent City, CA 95531 23 Dated: April 18, 2008 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 24 By: Sheilah Cahill, Deputy Clerk 25 26